



**RESPONSE UNDER RULE 116
EXPEDITED HANDLING PROCEDURES**

In re Patent Application of

Atty Dkt. 2493-13
C# M#

BROK et al.

TC/A.U. 2178

Serial No. 09/450,941

Examiner: Ludwig, Matthew J.

Filed: November 29, 1999

Date: December 5, 2005

Title: A METHOD AND ARRANGEMENT FOR BROWSING DOCUMENTS IN A
DATABASE

Corres. and Mail
BOX AF

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

This is a response/amendment/letter in the above-identified application and includes an attachment which is hereby incorporated by reference and the signature below serves as the signature to the attachment in the absence of any other signature thereon.

☐ **Correspondence Address Indication Form Attached.**

Fees are attached as calculated below:

Total effective claims after amendment 35 minus highest number
previously paid for 35 (at least 20) = 0 x \$50.00 \$0.00 (1202)/\$0.00 (2202) \$

Independent claims after amendment 6 minus highest number
previously paid for 6 (at least 3) = 0 x \$200.00 \$0.00 (1201)/\$0.00 (2201) \$

If proper multiple dependent claims now added for first time, (ignore improper); add
\$360.00 (1051)/\$180.00 (2051) \$

Petition is hereby made to extend the current due date so as to cover the filing date of this
paper and attachment(s)

One Month Extension \$120.00 (1251)/\$60.00 (2251)
Two Month Extensions \$450.00 (1252)/\$225.00 (2252)
Three Month Extensions \$1020.00 (1253)/\$510.00 (2253)
Four Month Extensions \$1590.00 (1254)/\$795.00 (2254) \$ 120.00

Terminal disclaimer enclosed, add \$130.00 (1814)/\$65.00 (2814) \$

☐ Applicant claims "small entity" status. ☐ Statement filed herewith

Rule 56 Information Disclosure Statement Filing Fee \$180.00 (1806) \$

Assignment Recording Fee \$40.00 (8021) \$

Other: \$


TOTAL FEE ENCLOSED \$ 120.00

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, in the fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this firm) to our Account No. 14-1140. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor
Arlington, Virginia 22203-1808
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100
JRL:sd

NIXON & VANDERHYTE P.C.
By Atty: John R. Lastova, Reg. No. 33,149

Signature: 



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

BROK et al.

Atty. Ref.: 2493-13; Confirmation No. 8363

Appl. No. 09/450,941

TC/A.U. 2178

Filed: November 29, 1999

Examiner: Ludwig, Matthew J.

For: A METHOD AND ARRANGEMENT FOR BROWSING DOCUMENTS IN A
DATABASE

* * * * *

December 5, 2005

Box AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Responsive to the Official Action dated August 5, 2005 (for which petition is hereby made for a one-month extension of time), Applicants request reconsideration.

Claims 1-35 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable in view of the Winzip helpfile document. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As explained previously, multiple documents may be stored in a single file database using a specialized protocol, e.g., 'edw'. But a document browser typically does not understand a specialized, single file database syntax. Accordingly, the links or the references in the documents (e.g., URL's) must be transformed before a document in the database can be provided to the browser for display.

12/06/2005 SZEWDIE1 00000029 09450941

01 FC:1251

120.00 0P